

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,209	SUZUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David D. Le	3681	

All Participants:

 (1) David D. Le.

 (2) Larry S. Nixon.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 25 October 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

10 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney authorizes examiner to amend the instant application as follows:

Claim 10:

Line 19, "providing" has been replaced with --said means for varying the command value providing--.

Claim 12:

Line 13, "to e permitted" has been replaced with --to be permitted--;

Line 15, "ot the pressure adjusting means" has been replaced with --to the pressure adjusting means--.